UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,697	05/11/2007	Gerrit Albert Zilvold	HMNZ 200040US01	4365
27885 FAY SHARPE	7590 07/19/201 LLP	EXAMINER		
1228 Euclid Av	*	MENDEZ, ZULMARIAM		
The Halle Building Cleveland, OH 44115			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/19/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/550,697	ZILVOLD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ZULMARIAM MENDEZ	1723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (6), cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed The mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 №</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under №	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pi				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o					
···	AV				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the Examine and accomposed and accomposed and accomposed are shown in the second and accomposed are shown in the second accomposed accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomp	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/550,697 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Interpretation - 35 USC § 112, sixth paragraph

1. It is noted that the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph have been invoked for the following limitation recited in claims 3 and 5: "means for electrically interconnecting the various adjacent electrodes".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zilvold (WO 98/329900) in view of Nelson et al. (US Patent no. 3,575,719).

With regard to claim 1, Zilvold discloses an apparatus for carrying out an electrolytic process (page 1, lines, 7-8), in which apparatus several electrolytic cells are electrically connected in series (page 1, lines 8-9), which electrolysis cells each

Application/Control Number: 10/550,697

Art Unit: 1723

comprise a cell element (page 1, lines 9-17), provided with underlying supply pipes for supplying electrolyte and with collecting discharge pipes disposed near the upper side thereof for discharging electrolyte and the gases formed during the electrolytic process (page 3, line 18 to page 4, line 34), a cathode compartment including a cathode (12) and an anode compartment including an anode (11; figure 1; page 2, lines 15-21), and a diaphragm or semi permeable membrane (page 1, lines 9-11), in which the electrolytic cells have been pressed together between two end plates (1, 2) with a certain bias, so that each anode compartment and each cathode compartment is constructed as one unit together with the supply pipes and the collecting discharge pipes (page 4, line 22 to page 5, line 7; see figure 1), characterized in that the assembly of end plates and electrolytic cells is present in a container/jacket which contains a liquid, heat-transferring medium, such as water (page 1, lines 7-14; page 4, line 35 to page 5, line 7). However, Zilvold fails to teach an electrically non-conducing cell partition being present between the cathode and the anode, which cell partition, in addition to supply pipes and collecting discharge pipes corresponding to the cell element, comprises on or more through channels for the passage there-through of the heat-transferring medium that is present in the container, which channels have been formed in the cell partition in such a manner that the heat-transferring medium that is present in the channels is not under an electric voltage, and that no liquid contact takes place between the electrolyte that is present in the electrolytic cells and the heat-transferring medium that is present in the container, outside the electrolytic cells.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/550,697

Art Unit: 1723

Nelson discloses a compact construction for electrochemical cells (col. 1, lines 13-38) comprising a pair of electrodes (30, 31), an insulating frame having multiple cell partitions/steps (13, 14) receiving the electrodes (30, 31; col. 3, lines 42-72), the nonconducting frame (10, 200) comprises multiple channels (202, 204, 206, 208, 210, 212, 214, 216, 218 and 220) which channels have been formed in the cell partition/frame for the passage there-through of a coolant in such a manner that the coolant that is present in the channels is not under an electric voltage (the coolant circulates through channels (206, 216) via flow distribution plate (135) with gaskets (50, 150) surrounding each fluid distribution plate to (135) to provide a fluid tight seal (col. 7, lines 1-66; col. 1 lines 31-38)); and that no liquid contact takes place between the electrolyte matrix (32) or reactant fed through port (17) that is present in the electrolytic cells and the coolant that is present in the container, outside the electrolytic cells (col. 6, line 37 to col. 8, line 60). This configuration provides a sealing arrangement with a more compact construction, prevents intra-cell, inter-cell and external leakage of fluids used in the cell assembly (i.e., the coolant will not be in direct electrical contact with the electrodes) as well as permits the circulation of a coolant to remove undesired by-products and heat from the cell (col. 1, lines 32-38 and 49-58; col. 2, lines 25-63). Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to modify the cell assembly by adding an insulating frame having multiple cell partitions/steps (13, 14) receiving the electrodes at each side of the frame, as taught by Nelson, having channels formed there-through to allow for the passage of a coolant in order to remove undesired by-products and heat

Page 4

Art Unit: 1723

from the cell while preventing intra-cell, inter-cell and external leakage of fluids used in the cell assembly.

With regard to claim 2, Nelson teaches a reversing element (formed by fluid distribution plates (35, 135) and passages 17, 18, 202, 204, 206, 208, 210, 212, 214, 216, 218 and 220 for the electrolyte and coolant; figures 1 and 7) disposed on the outer side of the electrolytic cell structure, which reversing element is provided with underlying supply pipes for supplying electrolyte to the adjacent electrolytic cell package (via 202, 204, 206, 208 and 210), and collecting discharge pipes connected to outlets (212, 214, 216, 218 and 220; col. 6, line 37 to col. 8, line 60), in order to separate gases produced at the electrodes and maintain continuous recirculation of electrolyte. The reversing element/distribution plates (35, 135) comprise gaskets (50, 150) surrounding each fluid distribution plate to (135) to provide a fluid tight seal (col. 7, lines 1-66; col. 1 lines 31-38)). This configuration provides a sealing arrangement with a more compact construction, prevents intra-cell, inter-cell and external leakage of fluids used in the cell assembly (i.e., the coolant will not be in direct electrical contact with the electrodes) as well as permits the circulation of a coolant to remove undesired by-products and heat from the cell (col. 1, lines 32-38 and 49-58; col. 2, lines 25-63. Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to add a reversing element, as taught by Nelson, in the electrolytic cell of Zilvold in order to remove undesired byproducts and heat from the cell while preventing intra-cell, inter-cell and external leakage of fluids used in the cell assembly. The limitations "for discharging electrolyte and the gases formed during the electrolytic process in the adjacent electrolytic cell

Art Unit: 1723

package, for effecting the return of electrolyte from the collecting discharge pipes to the supply pipes; for the passage there-through of the heat-transferring medium" have not been given patentable weight because it has been held that the manner of operating a device does not differentiate an apparatus claim from the prior art. A recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structure limitations of the claim. See MPEP 2114.

With regard to claims 4 and 6, Zilvold discloses wherein electrolytes are discharged from the apparatus via a pipe (4) arranged in the heat transferring medium/water in the container/jacket (page 1, lines 7-14; page 3, line 18 to page 5, line 7). The limitation "so as to transfer the thermal energy contained in the electrolytes to the heat-transferring medium" has not been given patentable weight because it has been held that the manner of operating a device does not differentiate an apparatus claim from the prior art. A recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structure limitations of the claim. See MPEP 2114.

5. Claims 3, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zilvold in view of Nelson, as discussed above, and further in view of Leduc (US Patent no. 3,421,994).

With regard to claims 3 and 5, the modified Zilvold discloses preventing exchange of electrolyte between cells but fails to explicitly teach wherein the electrically

Art Unit: 1723

non-conducting cell partition is provided with means for electrically interconnecting the various adjacent electrodes.

Leduc teaches an electrochemical apparatus comprising a cell body containing an electrolyte and having a plurality of electrodes with respective upper sections with slots, wherein the slots of the electrodes of common polarity are aligned in the same horizontal plane, the aligned slots being adapted to receive at least one electrically conductive bar (col. 1, lines 15-39; figures 3 and 10) in order to provide an improved means for electrically interconnecting electrodes to an electrical energy source to drive the electrolytic reaction thereby (col. 2, lines 33-35). Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to interconnect the various electrodes between the cells, as taught by Leduc, in order to provide an improved means for interconnecting electrodes to an electrical energy source to drive the electrolytic reaction thereby.

With regard to claim 7, Zilvold discloses wherein electrolytes are discharged from the apparatus via a pipe (4) arranged in the heat transferring medium/water in the container/jacket (page 1, lines 7-14; page 3, line 18 to page 5, line 7). The limitation "so as to transfer the thermal energy contained in the electrolytes to the heat-transferring medium" has not been given patentable weight because it has been held that the manner of operating a device does not differentiate an apparatus claim from the prior art. A recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structure limitations of the claim. See MPEP 2114.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,697 Page 8

Art Unit: 1723

Response to Arguments

6. The heading "Claim Rejections – 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph" at paragraph 1 is incorrect. Instead, what is set forth at paragraph 1 is simply a claim interpretation made by the Examiner. Appropriate correction has been presented above.

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4 and 6 rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zivold in view of Cawlfield have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The applicant argues that Cawlfield's cooling plates include hollowed out or grooved areas which are open on the side adjacent to the electrodes and thus, the coolant will be in direct electrical contact with the electrodes, which is prohibited by claim 1.

Conclusion

- 8. In view of the new grounds of rejection presented above, this Office Action has been made Non-Final.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ZULMARIAM MENDEZ whose telephone number is (571)272-9805. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 9am to 7pm.
- 10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexa Neckel can be reached on 571-272-1446. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,697 Page 9

Art Unit: 1723

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Harry D Wilkins, III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1723

/Z. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 1723